



JPW



Docket No: 243414US6YA

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

STEVEN P. WEIHROUCH
(703) 413-3000
SWEIHROUCH@OBLON.COM

EDWIN D. GARLEPP
SENIOR ASSOCIATE
(703) 413-3000
EGARLEPP@OBLON.COM

RE: Application Serial No: 10/682,196
Applicants: Dorel Ioan TOMA et al.
Filing Date: October 10, 2003
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR TREATING A
DIELECTRIC FILM
Group Art Unit: 2813
Examiner: HUYNH, YENNHU B.

SIR:


Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Steven P. Weihrouch

Registration No. 32,829

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)
(703) 413-2220 (fax)

Edwin D. Garlepp
Registration No. 45,330

I:\ATTY\EDG\2312 - TOKYO ELECTRON\TEA\TEA 4\243414US\REST CVR 4.05.DOC



DOCKET NO: 243414US6 YA

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF :
DOREL IOAN TOMA ET AL. : EXAMINER: HUYNH, YENNHU B.
SERIAL NO: 10/682,196 :
FILED: OCTOBER 10, 2003 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2813
FOR: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR TREATING A DIELECTRIC FILM

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated March 23, 2005, Applicants provisionally elect with traverse Species, Claims 1-29 and 45-57, directed to a method of treating a dielectric film. Applicants traverse the Restriction Requirement for the following reason.

MPEP § 803 states:

MPEP § 803

. . . If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

However, the outstanding Restriction Requirement has not established that an undue burden would exist if the Restriction Requirement was not issued and all the claims were examined together. Moreover, the claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding Restriction Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Application Serial Number: 10/682,196
In Response to Restriction Requirement dated March 23, 2005

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single group be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-48 be conducted.

Customer Number


22850

Phone: (703) 413-3000

Fax: (703) 413-2220

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Steven P. Weihrouch

Registration No. 32,829

Edwin D. Garlepp

Registration No. 45,330

SPW:EDG:tdm
I:\ATTY\EDG\2312 - TOKYO ELECTRON\TEA\TEA 4\243414US\RESP TO RSTR 4.05.DOC